arXiv:1211.4183v1 [gr-qc] 18 Nov 2012

Loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology

Xiangdong Zhang[a1, 2] and Yongge Ma[b2]
[1] *Department of Physics, South China University of Technology, GuangZhou 510641, China*
[2] *Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China*

The spatially flat and isotropic cosmological model of Brans-Dicke theory with coupling parameter $\omega \neq -\frac{3}{2}$ is quantized by the approach of loop quantum cosmology. An interesting feature of this model is that, although the Brans-Dicke scalar field is non-minimally coupled with curvature, it can still play the role of an emergent time variable. In the quantum theory, the classical differential equation which represents cosmological evolution is replaced by a quantum difference equation. The effective Hamiltonian and modified dynamical equations of loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology are also obtained, which lay a foundation for the phenomenological investigation to possible quantum gravity effects in cosmology. The effective equations indicate that the classical big bang singularity is again replaced by a quantum bounce in loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology.

PACS numbers: 04.60.Pp, 04.50.Kd, 98.80.Qc

---

[a] zhangxiangdong@mail.bnu.edu.cn
[b] Corresponding author: mayg@bnu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

As a background independent approach to quantize general relativity (GR), loop quantum gravity (LQG) has been widely investigated in the past 25 years[1–4]. Recently, this non-perturbatively loop quantization procedure has been successfully generalized to the metric $f(\mathcal{R})$ theories[5, 6], Brsns-Dicke theory [7] and scalar-tensor theories[8]. In fact, the scheme of these loop quantum modified gravity theories can be extended to more general metric theories of gravity with well-defined geometrical dynamics [9]. However, to go round the extreme complexity of a full theory of quantum gravity, one approach usually taken is to apply the formal quantization prescriptions to symmetry-reduced models. These relatively simple toy models could be employed to test the ideas and constructions of the full theory and to draw some physical predictions. The so-called loop quantum cosmology (LQC) is such a symmetry-reduced model from LQG. We refer to [10–13] for reviews on LQC. Similarly, to further test the constructions and explore the physical contents of loop quantum scalar-tensor theories, it is desirable to study their symmetry-reduced models, such as cosmological models. Among all scalar-tensor theories of gravity, the most simple one is the so-called Brans-Dicke theory which was introduced Brans and Dicke in 1961 to modify GR in accordance with Mach's principle [14].

The cosmological models of classical Brans-Dicke theory were first studied in [15, 16]. Then many aspects of Brans-Dicke cosmology have been widely investigated in the past decades[17]. The scalar field non-minimally coupled with curvature in Brans-Dicke theory is even expected to account for the dark energy problem [18–23], which has become a topical issue in cosmology [24]. It should be noted that the solar system experiments constrain the coupling constant ω of the original 4-dimensional Brans-Dicke theory to be a very large number [25, 26]. For simplicity consideration and consistency with the solar system experiments, we will only consider the original Brans-Dicke theory with coupling constant $\omega \neq -\frac{3}{2}$.

This paper is organized as follows. The canonical structure and connection dynamics in the spatially flat FRW model of classical Brans-Dicke theory is first given in section II. Then we construct the loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology in section III, where the dynamical difference equation representing cosmological evolution in the quantum theory is derived. In section IV, by simplifying our quantum Hamiltonian constraint, the path integral method is employed to obtain an effective Hamiltonian constraint. In the light of this effective Hamiltonian, the effective dynamical equations of loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology is derived in section V, which implies a quantum bounce near to the classical big bang singularity. Conclusions and outlooks are given in the last section.

II. CANONICAL STRUCTURE OF BRANS-DICKE COSMOLOGY

The original gravitational action of 4-dimensional Brans-Dicke theory reads [14]

$$S(g) = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int_\Sigma d^4x\sqrt{-g}[\phi\mathcal{R} - \frac{\omega}{\phi}(\partial_\mu\phi)\partial^\mu\phi] \tag{2.1}$$

where ϕ is a scalar field, $\mathcal{R}$ denotes the scalar curvature of spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, and ω is the coupling constant. Now we consider the spatially flat, homogeneous and isotropic model. According to the cosmological principle, one can write the line element of the spacetime metric of our universe as the following standard form, which is the so-called Friedman-Robertson-Walker (FRW) metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\left(dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right)$$

where a is the scale factor. At classical level, if one assumes that the matter constituent of the universe be some perfect fluid, the evolution equations of Brans-Dicke cosmology would read [15]

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{2\omega+3}{12}\left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi}\right)^2 + \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3\phi}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 2\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{\dot{a}\dot{\phi}}{a\phi} = \frac{8\pi G}{(3+2\omega)\phi}\left(-\omega P + (\omega+1)\rho\right), \tag{2.3}$$

and the equation of motion for the scalar field is

$$-\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\phi}a^3) = \frac{8\pi G}{3+2\omega}(-\rho + 3P),$$

where a dot over a letter denotes the derivative with respect to the cosmological time t, ρ and P are respectively the energy density and pressure of the fluid. In the case that the matter part is a massless scalar field, because $P = \rho$, the above equation will reduce to

$$-\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\phi}a^3)=\frac{16\pi G}{3+2\omega}\rho. \tag{2.4}$$

Recall that loop quantum scalar-tensor theories are based on their connection dynamical formalism [8], where the phase space consists of canonical pairs of geometrical conjugate variables, $SU(2)$ connection A_a^i and densitized triad E_j^b, and scalar conjugate variables (ϕ,π). The Poisson brackets between the canonical variables read

$$\begin{aligned}\{A_a^j(x),E_k^b(y)\}&=\kappa\gamma\delta_a^b\delta_k^j\delta(x,y),\\ \{\phi(x),\pi(y)\}&=\delta(x,y),\end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa=8\pi G$. To mimic the full theory, we can do the following symmetric reduction of the connection formalism as in standard LQC. We first introduce an "elemental cell" $\mathcal{V}$ on the homogeneous spatial manifold $\mathbb{R}^3$ and restrict all integrals to this elemental cell. Then we choose a fiducial Euclidean metric ${}^oq_{ab}$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ as well as the orthonormal triad and co-triad $({}^oe_i^a;{}^o\omega_a^i)$, such that ${}^oq_{ab}={}^o\omega_a^i\,{}^o\omega_b^i$. For simplicity, we let the elemental cell $\mathcal{V}$ be cubic as measured by ${}^oq_{ab}$ and denote its volume by V_o. For spatially flat FRW model we have $A_a^i=\gamma\tilde{K}_a^i$, where γ is a nonzero real number and $\tilde{K}_a^i$ is defined in [8]. Via fixing the degrees of freedom of local gauge and diffeomorphism transformations, we finally yield the reduced connection and densitized triad as [10]

$$A_a^i=\tilde{c}V_0^{-\frac{1}{3}}\ {}^o\omega_a^i,\qquad E_j^b=pV_0^{-\frac{2}{3}}\sqrt{\det({}^0q)}\ {}^oe_j^b,$$

where $\tilde{c},p$ are only functions of t. Hence the phase space of cosmological model consists of conjugate pairs $(\tilde{c},p)$ and (ϕ,π). The Poisson brackets between them read

$$\begin{aligned}\{\tilde{c},p\}&=\frac{\kappa}{3}\gamma,\\ \{\phi,\pi\}&=1.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Note that the new variables are related to the old ones by $|p|=a^2V_0^{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $\tilde{c}=(\phi\dot{a}+\frac{a}{2}\dot{\phi})\gamma V_0^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

The Gaussian and diffeomorphism constraints in the full theory have been solved by the symmetric reduction. Hence, in the cosmological model we only need to treat the remaining Hamiltonian constraint. Its expression in the full theory reads [7]

$$\begin{aligned}H&=\frac{\phi}{2\kappa}\left[F_{ab}^j-(\gamma^2+\frac{1}{\phi^2})\varepsilon_{jmn}\tilde{K}_a^m\tilde{K}_b^n\right]\frac{\varepsilon_{jkl}E_k^aE_l^b}{\sqrt{q}}\\ &+\frac{\kappa}{3+2\omega}\left(\frac{(\tilde{K}_a^iE_i^a)^2}{\kappa^2\phi\sqrt{q}}+2\frac{(\tilde{K}_a^iE_i^a)\pi}{\kappa\sqrt{q}}+\frac{\pi^2\phi}{\sqrt{q}}\right)\\ &+\frac{\omega}{2\kappa\phi}\sqrt{q}(D_a\phi)D^a\phi+\frac{1}{\kappa}\sqrt{q}D_aD^a\phi\\ &=0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In the cosmological model which we are considering, the above Hamiltonian constraint reduces to

$$H=-\frac{3\tilde{c}^2\sqrt{|p|}}{\gamma^2\kappa\phi}+\frac{\kappa}{(3+2\omega)\phi|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\frac{3\tilde{c}p}{\kappa\gamma}+\pi\phi)^2=0. \tag{2.7}$$

Recall that in the cosmological model of GR minimally coupled with a massless scalar field, the scalar field can be viewed as an emergent internal time variable. An interesting question arising in our Brans-Dicke cosmology is that whether the scalar field nonminimally coupled with the geometry can still be viewed as emergent time. To answer this question, we check the evolution equation of the scalar field,

$$\dot{\phi}=\{\phi,H\}=\frac{2\kappa}{(3+2\omega)|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\frac{3\tilde{c}p}{\kappa\gamma}+\pi\phi).$$

If we could show that $p_\phi=\frac{3\tilde{c}p}{\kappa\gamma}+\pi\phi$ is a constant of motion, the scalar field would be a monotonic function with respect to the cosmological time. This is indeed the case since

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{p_\phi}=\{p_\phi,H\}&=-\frac{1}{2}(-\frac{6\omega\tilde{c}^2\sqrt{|p|}}{(3+2\omega)\kappa\gamma^2\phi}+\frac{6\tilde{c}\pi\,\mathrm{sgn}(p)}{(3+2\omega)\gamma\sqrt{|p|}}+\frac{\kappa\pi^2\phi}{(3+2\omega)|p|^{\frac{3}{2}}})\\ &=-\frac{1}{2}H\approx 0,\end{aligned}$$

where sgn(p) is the sign function for p. Therefore we conclude that, although the scalar field is nonminimally coupled with geometry in Brans-Dicke cosmology, it can still be viewed as an emergent time variable.

III. LOOP QUANTIZATION OF BRANS-DICKE COSMOLOGY

To quantize the cosmological model, we first need to construct the quantum kinematics of Brans-Dicke cosmology by mimicking the loop quantum scalar-tensor theory. This is the so-called polymer-like quantization. The kinematical Hilbert space for the geometry part can be defined as $\mathcal{H}^{\rm gr}_{\rm kin} := L^2(R_{Bohr}, d\mu_H)$, where R_{Bohr} and $d\mu_H$ are respectively the Bohr compactification of the real line and Haar measure on it [10]. On the other hand, for convenience we choose Schrodinger representation for the scalar field [12]. Thus the kinematical Hilbert space for the scalar field part is defined as in usual quantum mechanics, $\mathcal{H}^{\rm sc}_{\rm kin} := L^2(R, d\mu)$. Hence the whole Hilbert space of Brans-Dicke cosmology is a direct product, $\mathcal{H}^{BD}_{\rm kin} = \mathcal{H}^{\rm gr}_{\rm kin} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\rm sc}_{\rm kin}$. Now let $|\mu\rangle$ be the eigenstates of $\hat{p}$ in the kinematical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\rm gr}_{\rm kin}$ such that

$$\hat{p}|\mu\rangle = \frac{8\pi G\gamma\hbar}{6}\mu|\mu\rangle.$$

Then those eigenstates satisfy orthonormal condition

$$\langle\mu_i|\mu_j\rangle = \delta_{\mu_i,\mu_j} \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where δ_{μ_i,μ_j} is the Kronecker delta function rather than the Dirac distribution. For the convenience of studying quantum dynamics, we define new variables

$$v := 2\sqrt{3}sgn(p)\bar{\mu}^{-3}, \quad b := \bar{\mu}\tilde{c},$$

where $\bar{\mu} = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{|p|}}$ with $\Delta = 4\sqrt{3}\pi\gamma\ell_{\rm p}^2$ being a minimum nonzero eigenvalue of the area operator [27]. They also form a pair of conjugate variables as

$$\{b, v\} = \frac{2}{\hbar} \ .$$

It turns out that the eigenstates of $\hat{v}$ also contribute an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}^{\rm gr}_{\rm kin}$. We denote $|\phi, v\rangle$ as the generalized orthonormal basis for the whole Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{BD}_{\rm kin}$. In (b, v) representation, the Hamiltonian constraint (2.7) can be written as

$$H = -\frac{\sqrt{3\Delta}b^2|v|}{2\kappa\gamma^2\phi} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{(3+2\omega)\phi(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}|v|}(\frac{3\hbar b v}{4} + \pi\phi)^2 = 0.$$

Now we come to the quantum dynamics. As in usual LQC, we start with the Hamiltonian constraint of full theory. However, since what we consider here is the homogeneous universe, the last two terms containing spatial derivative in the Hamiltonian (2.6) can be neglected. Hence we write Eq.(2.6) as $H = \sum_{i=1}^{5} H_i$. The quantization of the first two terms in H is similar to that in usual LQC [13]. Thus the sum of the first two terms act on a quantum state $\Psi(\nu, \phi) \in \mathcal{H}^{BD}_{\rm kin}$ as

$$(\hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2)\Psi(\nu, \phi) = \frac{1}{\phi}(\sin b)A(v)(\sin b)\Psi(\nu, \phi)$$

where

$$A(v)\Psi(\nu, \phi) = \frac{\sqrt{3\Delta}}{4\kappa\gamma^2}|v|\big||v+1| - |v-1|\big|\Psi(\nu, \phi).$$

Hence the final result is

$$(\hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2)\Psi(\nu, \phi) = \frac{1}{\phi}\left(f_+(v)\Psi(\nu + 4, \phi) + f_0(v)\Psi(\nu, \phi) + f_-(v)\Psi(\nu - 4, \phi)\right),$$

where

$$f_+(v) = \frac{\sqrt{3\Delta}}{16\kappa\gamma^2}\Big||v+3| - |v+1|\Big||v+2|,$$

$$f_-(v) = f_+(v-4), \quad f_0(v) = -f_+(v) - f_-(v).$$

Now we turn to H_3, H_4, H_5 terms. Note that here we need to quantize the term $\tilde{K}^i_a E^a_i$. Due to the spatial flatness, we have $\tilde{K}^i_a E^a_i = \frac{1}{\gamma} A^i_a E^a_i$. In the cosmological model, this term can be reduced by

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} A^i_a E^a_i \rightsquigarrow \frac{3}{\gamma}\tilde{c}p = \frac{3\kappa\hbar b v}{4}.$$

Because we use polymer representation for geometry, there is no quantum operator corresponding to connection $\tilde{c}$ as in standard LQC [12]. Hence we have to replace the connection by holonomy to get a well-defined operator. This can be achieved by using the classical identity

$$\lim_{\bar{\mu}\to 0} \frac{h_i^{(2\bar{\mu})} - h_i^{(2\bar{\mu})^{-1}}}{4\bar{\mu}} = \lim_{\bar{\mu}\to 0} \frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}\tilde{c})}{\bar{\mu}}\tau_i = \tilde{c}\tau_i \ ,$$

where $\tau_i = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma_i$, σ_i is Pauli matrices and the holonomy is defined by

$$h_i^{(\bar{\mu})} := \cos\frac{\bar{\mu}\tilde{c}}{2}\mathit{1} + 2\sin\frac{\bar{\mu}\tilde{c}}{2}\tau_i \ . \tag{3.2}$$

here $\mathit{1}$ is the 2×2 unit matrix. Thus, according to Eq.(3.2) we can replace connection $\tilde{c}$ by holonomy $\frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}\tilde{c})}{\bar{\mu}}$. Then the symmetry-reduced expression of the sum of H_3, H_4, H_5 terms becomes

$$\frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{(3+2\omega)\phi(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}|v|}(\frac{3\hbar b v}{4} + \pi\phi)^2 \rightsquigarrow \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{(3+2\omega)(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left((\frac{3\hbar}{4})^2\frac{\sin(b)|v|\sin(b)}{\phi} + 2sgn(p)(\frac{3\hbar}{4})\sin(b)\pi + \frac{\pi\phi\pi}{|v|}\right).$$

Let $\beta = 3+2\omega$. Based on the above discussion, the action of $\hat{H}_3$ on a quantum state read

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_3\Psi(\phi,v) &= \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta\phi(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)^2 \sin(b)|\hat{v}|\sin(b)\Psi(\phi,v) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}\kappa}{2\beta\phi(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)^2 \left[|v+2|\Psi(\phi,v+4) - 2|v|\Psi(\phi,v) + |v-2|\Psi(\phi,v-4)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for H_4 term we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_4\Psi(\phi,v) &= \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right) 2sgn(p)\sin(b)\hat{\pi}\Psi(\phi,v) \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)\hbar sgn(p)[\frac{\partial\Psi(\phi,v+2)}{\partial\phi} - \frac{\partial\Psi(\phi,v-2)}{\partial\phi}].\end{aligned}$$

Also the action of $\hat{H}_5$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_5\Psi(\phi,v) &= \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\widehat{|v|^{-1}}\hat{\pi}\hat{\phi}\hat{\pi}\Psi(\phi,v) \\ &= -\frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}}(\hbar)^2 B(v)\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\left(\phi\frac{\partial\Psi(\phi,v)}{\partial\phi}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B(v) = (\frac{3}{2})^3|v|\left||v+1|^{1/3} - |v-1|^{1/3}\right|^3. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian constraint (2.6) has been successfully quantized in the cosmological model. The Hamiltonian constraint equation of loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology reads

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{5}\hat{H}_i)\Psi(\phi,v) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

To study the effective theory of loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology, we also want to know the effect of matter fields on the dynamical evolution. Hence we now include an extra massless scalar matter field φ into Brans-Dicke cosmology. Then classically the total Hamiltonian constraint of the model reads

$$H = -\frac{\sqrt{3\Delta}b^2|v|}{2\kappa\gamma^2\phi} + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{(3+2\omega)\phi(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}|v|}(\frac{3\hbar b v}{4} + \pi\phi)^2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}p_\varphi^2}{|v|(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

where p_φ is the momentum conjugate to φ. In the quantum theory, the whole Hilbert space now is a direct product of three parts, $\mathcal{H}_{\rm kin}^{total} = \mathcal{H}_{\rm kin}^{\rm gr} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\rm kin}^{\rm sc} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\rm kin}^{matter}$. Here the kinematical Hilbert space for the matter part is also defined as in usual quantum mechanics as $\mathcal{H}_{\rm kin}^{matter} := L^2(R, d\mu)$. The action of the Hamiltonian of matter field on a quantum state $\Psi(v, \phi, \varphi) \in \mathcal{H}_{\rm kin}^{total}$ reads

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}\hat{p}_\varphi^2}{(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \widehat{|v|^{-1}} \Psi(v, \phi, \varphi) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{(\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \hbar^2 B(v) \frac{\partial^2 \Psi(v, \phi, \varphi)}{\partial \varphi^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

IV. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN OF BRANS-DICKE COSMOLOGY

To test the robustness of key features of loop quantum cosmology, a simplified soluble model of LQC was proposed in Ref.[28]. In the simplified model, one first adapted the classical theory to the scalar matter field time by the following "harmonic gauge" form of spacetime metric:

$$ds^2 = -a^6(\tau) d\tau^2 + a^2(\tau)\left(dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right).$$

Then the Hamiltonian constraint (3.5) becomes

$$H_s = -\frac{\Delta^2 b^2 v^2}{2\kappa\gamma^2\phi} + \frac{2\kappa}{(3+2\omega)\phi}\left(\frac{3\hbar b v}{4} + \pi\phi\right)^2 + p_\varphi^2 = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

In the corresponding quantum theory, we denote quantum state $\Psi(v) \equiv \Psi(v, \phi, \varphi)$ for short. Then the simplified Hamiltonian constraint equation reads

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi(v)}{\partial \varphi^2} = -\hat{\Theta}\Psi(v), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Theta}\Psi(v) &= \frac{\Delta^2}{8\kappa\gamma^2\hbar^2\phi} v\left[(v+2)\Psi(v+4) - 2v\Psi(v) + (v-2)\Psi(v-4)\right] \\
&- \frac{\kappa}{2\beta\hbar^2\phi}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)^2 v\left[(v+2)\Psi(v+4) - 2v\Psi(v) + (v-2)\Psi(v-4)\right] \\
&+ \frac{2\kappa}{i\beta\hbar^2}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)\hat{\pi} v\left[\Psi(v+2) - \Psi(v-2)\right] + \frac{2\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2}\hat{\pi}\phi\hat{\pi}\Psi(v) \\
&\equiv \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{4}\hat{\Theta}_i\Big)\Psi(v).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Thus we get a Klein-Gordon type equation for the quantum dynamics of Brans-Dicke cosmology coupled with a massless scalar field. Note that the constraint equation (4.2) could also be reduced from the Hamiltonian (3.4) and (3.6) by the replacements [28]:

$$B(v) \longmapsto \frac{1}{|v|},$$

and

$$A(v) = \frac{\sqrt{3}\Delta}{2\kappa\gamma^2}|v|.$$

The first replacement amounts to assuming $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{|v|}) \ll 1$, which then implies the validity of the second replacement.

The effective description of LQC is a delicate and topical issue since it may relate the quantum gravity effects to low-energy physics. The effective Hamiltonian of LQC are being studied from both canonical perspective[29–32] and path integral perspective[33–37]. With the help of the Hamiltonian constraint equation (4.2), we now derive an effective Hamiltonian within the timeless path integral formalism. In timeless path integral formalism of our model, the transition amplitude equals to the physical inner product [33, 34], i.e.,

$$A_{tls}(v_f, \phi_f, \varphi_f;\ v_i, \phi_i, \varphi_i) = \langle v_f, \phi_f, \varphi_f | v_i, \phi_i, \varphi_i\rangle_{phy} = \lim_{\alpha_o\to\infty}\int_{-\alpha_o}^{\alpha_o} d\alpha \langle v_f, \phi_f, \varphi_f | e^{i\alpha\hat{C}} | v_i, \phi_i, \varphi_i\rangle, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\hat{C} \equiv \hat{\Theta} + \hat{p}_\varphi^2/\hbar^2$. As shown in Refs.[34, 35], by multiple group averaging and complete basis inserting, we will need to calculate

$$\langle v_f, \phi_f, \varphi_f | e^{i \sum\limits_{n=1}^{N} \epsilon \alpha_n \hat{C}} | v_i, \phi_i, \varphi_i \rangle = \sum_{v_{N-1},...v_1} \int d\phi_{N-1}...d\phi_1 \int d\varphi_{N-1}...d\varphi_1 \prod_{n=1}^{N} \langle \varphi_n | \langle \phi_n | \langle v_n | e^{i\epsilon\alpha_n \hat{C}} | v_{n-1} \rangle | \phi_{n-1} \rangle | \varphi_{n-1} \rangle . \tag{4.5}$$

Since the action of the constraint operator $\widehat{C}$ has been separated into gravitational part and matter part, we could calculate the exponential on each kinematical space separately. Then, for the matter part one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_n | e^{i\epsilon\alpha_n \frac{\widehat{p}_\varphi^2}{\hbar^2}} | \varphi_{n-1} \rangle =& \int dp_{\varphi_n} \langle \varphi_n | p_{\varphi_n} \rangle \langle p_{\varphi_n} | e^{i\epsilon\alpha_n \frac{\widehat{p}_\varphi^2}{\hbar^2}} | \varphi_{n-1} \rangle \\ =& \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dp_{\varphi_n} e^{i\epsilon(\frac{p_{\varphi_n}}{\hbar} \frac{\varphi_n - \varphi_{n-1}}{\epsilon} + \alpha_n \frac{p_{\varphi_n}^2}{\hbar^2})} . \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

For the gravity part, we first use the following identity

$$\int d\phi_n \langle \phi_n | \langle v_n | e^{-i\epsilon\alpha_n \hat{\Theta}} | v_{n-1} \rangle | \phi_{n-1} \rangle = \delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}} - i\epsilon\alpha_n \sum_i \int d\phi_n \langle \phi_n | \langle v_n | \hat{\Theta}_i | v_{n-1} \rangle | \phi_{n-1} \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2). \tag{4.7}$$

Then, the matrix elements of $\hat{\Theta}_i$ can be calculated separately by using Eq.(4.3). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\phi_n \langle \phi_n | \langle v_n | \hat{\Theta}_1 | v_{n-1} \rangle | \phi_{n-1} \rangle \\ =& \frac{\Delta^2}{8\kappa\gamma^2\hbar^2\phi_{n-1}} v_{n-1} \frac{v_n + v_{n-1}}{2} (\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}+4} - 2\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}} + \delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}-4}) \\ =& \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int d\phi_n d\pi_n e^{i\epsilon(\frac{\pi_n}{\hbar} \frac{\phi_n - \phi_{n-1}}{\epsilon})} \frac{\Delta^2}{8\kappa\gamma^2\hbar^2\phi_n} v_{n-1} \frac{v_n + v_{n-1}}{2} (\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}+4} - 2\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}} + \delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}-4}), \end{aligned}$$

where π_n is the momentum conjugate to ϕ_n. Similarly, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\phi_n \langle \phi_n | \langle v_n | \hat{\Theta}_2 | v_{n-1} \rangle | \phi_{n-1} \rangle \\ =& -\frac{\kappa}{2\beta\hbar^2} \left(\frac{3\hbar}{4} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int d\phi_n d\pi_n e^{i\epsilon(\frac{\pi_n}{\hbar} \frac{\phi_n - \phi_{n-1}}{\epsilon})} \frac{1}{\phi_{n-1}} v_{n-1} \frac{v_n + v_{n-1}}{2} \Big[\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}+4} - 2\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}} + \delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}-4} \Big], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\phi_n \langle \phi_n | \langle v_n | \hat{\Theta}_3 | v_{n-1} \rangle | \phi_{n-1} \rangle \\ =& \frac{2\kappa}{i\beta\hbar^2} \left(\frac{3\hbar}{4} \right) \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int d\phi_n d\pi_n e^{i\epsilon(\frac{\pi_n}{\hbar} \frac{\phi_n - \phi_{n-1}}{\epsilon})} \pi_n v_{n-1} (\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}+2} - \delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}-2}). \end{aligned}$$

At last we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\phi_n \langle \phi_n | \hat{\Theta}_4 | \phi_{n-1} \rangle =& \int d\phi_n \langle \phi_n | \frac{\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2} \left(\hat{\phi}\hat{\pi}^2 + \hat{\pi}^2\hat{\phi} \right) | \phi_{n-1} \rangle \\ =& \frac{\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2} \int d\phi_n \left(\int d\pi_n \langle \phi_n || \pi_n \rangle \langle \pi_n | \hat{\pi}^2 \hat{\phi} | \phi_{n-1} \rangle + \int d\pi_n \langle \phi_n | \hat{\phi}\hat{\pi}^2 | \pi_n \rangle \langle \pi_n || \phi_{n-1} \rangle \right) \\ =& \frac{\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2} \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int d\phi_n d\pi_n e^{i\epsilon \frac{\pi_n}{\hbar} (\frac{\phi_n - \phi_{n-1}}{\epsilon})} (\phi_n + \phi_{n-1}) \pi_n^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Taking account of above results and the formula

$$\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}+4} - 2\delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}} + \delta_{v_n, v_{n-1}-4} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi db_n e^{-ib_n(v_n - v_{n-1})/2} 4\sin^2(b_n),$$

Eq.(4.7) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d\phi_n \langle\phi_n|\langle v_n|e^{-i\epsilon\alpha_n\hat{\Theta}}|v_{n-1}\rangle|\phi_{n-1}\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\int d\phi_n d\pi_n e^{i\epsilon(\frac{\pi_n}{\hbar}\frac{\phi_n-\phi_{n-1}}{\epsilon})}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\pi db_n e^{-ib_n(v_n-v_{n-1})/2}\Big[1-i\alpha_n\epsilon\frac{\Delta^2}{8\kappa\gamma^2\hbar^2\phi_n}v_{n-1}\frac{v_n+v_{n-1}}{2}4\sin^2 b_n \\
&+ i\alpha_n\epsilon\frac{\kappa}{2\beta\hbar^2\phi_n}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)^2 v_{n-1}\frac{v_n+v_{n-1}}{2}4\sin^2(b_n) + i\alpha_n\epsilon\frac{2\kappa}{i\beta\hbar^2}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)\pi_n v_{n-1}2i\sin(b_n) + i\alpha_n\epsilon\frac{\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2}(\phi_n+\phi_{n-1})\pi_n^2\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all the above ingredients the transition amplitude can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_{tls}(v_f,\phi_f,\varphi_f;\ v_i,\phi_i,\varphi_i) \\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty}\quad \lim_{\alpha_{No},\dots,\alpha_{1o}\to\infty}\left(\epsilon\prod_{n=2}^{N}\frac{1}{2\alpha_{no}}\right)\int_{-\alpha_{No}}^{\alpha_{No}}d\alpha_N\dots\int_{-\alpha_{1o}}^{\alpha_{1o}}d\alpha_1\times\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\varphi_{N-1}\dots d\varphi_1\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^N\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dp_{\varphi_N}\dots dp_{\varphi_1} \\
&\times\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\phi_{N-1}\dots d\phi_1\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^N\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\pi_N\dots d\pi_1\sum_{v_{N-1},\dots,v_1}\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^N\int_0^\pi db_N\dots db_1 \\
&\times\prod_{n=1}^{N}\exp i\epsilon\Big[\frac{p_{\varphi_n}}{\hbar}\frac{\varphi_n-\varphi_{n-1}}{\epsilon}+\frac{\pi_n}{\hbar}\frac{\phi_n-\phi_{n-1}}{\epsilon}-\frac{b_n}{2}\frac{v_n-v_{n-1}}{\epsilon}+\alpha_n\Big(\frac{p_{\varphi_n}^2}{\hbar^2}-\frac{\Delta^2}{8\kappa\gamma^2\hbar^2\phi_n}v_{n-1}\frac{v_n+v_{n-1}}{2}4\sin^2 b_n \\
&+\frac{\kappa}{2\beta\hbar^2\phi_n}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)^2 v_{n-1}\frac{v_n+v_{n-1}}{2}4\sin^2(b_n)+\frac{2\kappa}{i\beta\hbar^2}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)\pi_n v_{n-1}2i\sin(b_n)+\frac{\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2}(\phi_n+\phi_{n-1})\pi_n^2\Big)\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by taking the ‘continuum limit’ we can get a path integral formulation as

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_{tls}(v_f,\phi_f,\varphi_f;\ v_i,\phi_i,\varphi_i) \\
&= c\int\mathcal{D}\alpha\int\mathcal{D}\varphi\int\mathcal{D}p_\varphi\int\mathcal{D}\phi\int\mathcal{D}\pi_\phi\int\mathcal{D}v\int\mathcal{D}b\ \ \exp\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^1 d\tau \\
&\Big[p_\varphi\dot{\varphi}+\pi_\phi\dot{\phi}-\frac{\hbar b}{2}\dot{v}+\hbar\alpha\Big(\frac{p_\varphi^2}{\hbar^2}-\frac{\Delta^2}{2\gamma^2\kappa\hbar^2\phi}v^2\sin^2 b+\frac{2\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2\phi}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)^2 v^2\sin^2(b)+\frac{4\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\right)\pi v\sin(b)+\frac{2\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2}\phi\pi^2\Big)\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

where c is an overall constant. Hence, the effective Hamiltonian constraint in the simplified model can be simply read as

$$C_{eff} = -\frac{\Delta^2}{2\gamma^2\kappa\hbar^2\phi}v^2\sin^2 b+\frac{2\kappa}{\beta\hbar^2\phi}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\sin(b)v+\pi\phi\right)^2+\frac{p_\varphi^2}{\hbar^2}.$$

It is easy to see from above expression that the classical Hamiltonian constraint (4.1) can be recovered from C_{eff} in the large scalar limit as $\sin b\to b$. Therefore the above quantum model has correct classical limit. On the other hand, if one wants to achieve the effective Hamiltonian constraint for the original model of previous sections, the proper time of isotropic observers should be respected. Then the factor $\frac{1}{|v|}$ has to be multiplied to C_{eff}. We thus obtain

$$H_F = -\frac{\sqrt{3\Delta}}{2\gamma^2\kappa\phi}|v|\sin^2 b+\frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta\Delta^{3/2}|v|\phi}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\sin(b)v+\pi\phi\right)^2+\frac{\Delta^{3/2}|v|}{2\sqrt{3}}\rho,$$

where the matter density is defined by

$$\rho = \frac{p_\varphi^2}{2|p|^3} = \frac{6p_\varphi^2}{v^2\Delta^3}. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that the above effective Hamiltonian can also be obtained form the classical Hamiltonian (3.5) by the heuristic replacement $b\to\sin b$. Hence the classical Hamiltonian constraint can be recovered from the effective H_F in the large scalar limit.

V. EFFECTIVE EQUATION AND QUANTUM BOUNCE

By employing the effective Hamiltonian H_F and symplectic structure of Brans-Dicke cosmology, we can easily get equation of motions for v and ϕ respectively as

$$\dot{v} = \{v, H_F\} = \frac{2\sqrt{3\Delta}}{\hbar\gamma^2\kappa\phi}|v|\sin(b)\cos(b) - \frac{6\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta\Delta^{3/2}\phi}\mathrm{sgn}(p)\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\sin(b)v + \pi\phi\right)\cos(b), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \{\phi, H_F\} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta\Delta^{3/2}|v|}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\sin(b)v + \pi\phi\right) \equiv \frac{4\sqrt{3}\kappa\tilde{p}_\phi}{\beta\Delta^{3/2}|v|}. \tag{5.2}$$

Now, let us calculate the evolution of $\tilde{p}_\phi \equiv \frac{3\hbar}{4}\sin(b)v + \pi\phi$. It reads

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\tilde{p}}_\phi &= \{\tilde{p}_\phi, H_F\}\\ &= -\frac{3}{2}\cos(b)H_F + H_F - \frac{\Delta^{3/2}|v|}{2\sqrt{3}}\rho\\ &\approx -\frac{\Delta^{3/2}|v|}{2\sqrt{3}}\rho.\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Hence $\tilde{p}_\phi$ is a constant of motion when $\rho = 0$. The combination of Eqs. (5.2) and (5.3) gives

$$-\frac{1}{a^3}\frac{d}{dt}(\dot{\phi}a^3) = \frac{2\kappa}{\beta}\rho,$$

which is as same as the classical evolution equation (2.4) for the scalar field ϕ. However, the evolution equation corresponding to Eq.(2.2) is modified by the quantum correction, since the combination of equations (5.1) and (5.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{3v} + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\phi}\right)^2 &= \left[\frac{2\sqrt{\Delta}}{\sqrt{3}\hbar\gamma^2\kappa\phi}\sin(b)\cos(b) + \frac{2\sqrt{3}\kappa}{\beta\Delta^{3/2}\phi v}\left(\frac{3\hbar}{4}\sin(b)v + \pi\phi\right)(1-\cos(b))\right]^2\\ &= \left[\frac{2\sqrt{\Delta}}{\sqrt{3}\hbar\gamma^2\kappa\phi}\sin(b)\cos(b) + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\phi}\mathrm{sgn}(p)(1-\cos(b))\right]^2.\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

On the other hand, the effective Hamiltonian constraint $H_F = 0$ can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{3\sin^2(b)}{\kappa\gamma^2\phi\Delta} + \frac{\beta\dot{\phi}^2}{4\kappa\phi} + \rho = 0,$$

which gives

$$\sin^2(b) = \frac{\rho_e}{\rho_c} \tag{5.5}$$

where we defined an effective matter density $\rho_e \equiv \frac{\beta\dot{\phi}^2}{4\kappa} + \phi\rho$ and $\rho_c \equiv \frac{3}{\gamma^2\Delta\kappa} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{32\pi^2G^2\gamma^3\hbar}$. Note that Eq.(5.5) guarantees the positivity of ρ_e. Now with the help of Eq. (5.5), Eq.(5.4) can be expressed as

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\phi}\right)^2 = \left[\frac{1}{\phi}\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{3}\rho_e(1-\frac{\rho_e}{\rho_c})} + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\phi}(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{\rho_e}{\rho_c}})\right]^2. \tag{5.6}$$

Note that we also have

$$\rho_e = \frac{6}{v^2\Delta^3}\left(\frac{2\kappa\tilde{p}_\phi^2}{\beta} + \phi p_\varphi^2\right) := \frac{6}{v^2\Delta^3}P_\phi^2.$$

By using Eqs. (5.2), (5.3) and (4.8), we can show that P_ϕ^2 is a constant of motion since

$$\dot{P}_\phi^2 = \frac{4\kappa\tilde{p}_\phi}{\beta}\dot{\tilde{p}}_\phi + \dot{\phi}p_\varphi^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, for a contracting universe, ρ_e would monotonically increase while v decreases. Thus, when $\rho_e = \rho_c$, we have $\cos(b) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\rho_e}{\rho_c}} = 0$. Then, from Eq.(5.1), we can easily get $\dot{v} = 0$, which implies a quantum bounce happened at that point. Hence, just as the LQC case of GR, the big bang singularity of Brans-Dicke cosmology can also be avoided by its loop quantization.

We end up this section with following two remarks on the effective equation (5.6). (i) In the special case of $\phi = 1$, we have $\rho_e = \rho$ and $\dot{\phi} = 0$. Then Eq.(5.6) reduces to the well-known effective Friedman equation of LQC as

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3}\rho(1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}).$$

(ii) In the classical limit when $\rho_e \ll \rho_c$, the $\frac{\rho_e}{\rho_c}$ terms in Eq. (5.6) can be neglected, and hence it reduces to the evolution equation (2.2) of classical Brans-Dicke cosmology as

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{2\phi}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{\phi^2}\frac{\kappa}{3}\rho_e = \frac{\beta\dot{\phi}^2}{12\phi^2} + \frac{\kappa\rho}{3\phi}.$$

VI. CONCLUDING REMARKS

To summarize the results in previous sections, we first studied the spatially flat FRW model of Brans-Dicke theory. It turns out that, although the scalar field is non-minimally coupled, it can still be treated as an emergent time variable. Hence, in Brans-Dicke cosmology an internal time may come from the gravity rather than an extra matter field. This model is then successfully quantized by the nonperturbative loop quantization approach with the Brans-Dicke coupling parameter $\omega \neq -\frac{3}{2}$. The Hamiltonian constraint is successfully quantized in this model. Due to the polymer-like quantization and the non-vanishing minimal area, the classical differential equation which represents cosmological evolution is now replaced by quantum difference equation. In addition, we use the timeless path integral formalism and the simplified treatment to derive an effective Hamiltonian of loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology. The same expression could also be obtained if we took the heuristic replacement of $\tilde{c} \to \frac{\sin(\bar{\mu}\tilde{c})}{\bar{\mu}}$ in the classical Hamiltonian constraint. Hence the quantum theory has correct classical limit. Furthermore, we use this effective Hamiltonian to get the effective dynamical equations of the theory, which lay a foundation for the phenomenological investigation to possible quantum gravity effects in cosmology. Our analysis indicates that the classical big bang singularity is again replaced by a quantum bounce in loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology. This result strengthens our confidence that the existence of quantum bounce is a universal feature of loop quantum cosmological models.

There is also interesting situation in loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology, which does not exist in the LQC of GR. Since the scalar field ϕ of Brans-Dicke gravity can play the role of emergent time, there exists a meaningful vacuum evolution in loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology. In this case, the quantum bounce will still exist even without extra matter field. To see this, we let $\rho = 0$. Then ϕ contributes the effective density $\rho_e = \frac{\beta\dot{\phi}^2}{4\kappa}$, and Eq.(5.3) implies that $\tilde{p}_\phi$ is a constant of motion. Hence Eq.(5.2) gives $\dot{\phi} \propto \frac{1}{|v|}$ and thus $\rho_e \propto \frac{1}{v^2}$. Therefore the effective density ρ_e evolves according to the same rule of the massless scalar matter density ρ. Then it is easy to see from Eq.(5.1) that a quantum bounce will happen at the point $\rho_e = \rho_c$.

It should be noted that there are many aspects of the loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology which deserve further investigating. For examples, it is still desirable to confirm the effective equations of loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology from canonical perspective. To confirm the universality of the quantum bounce, we need to generalize our scheme to other modified gravity theoris, such as $f(R)$ theories and general scalar-tensor theories. Moreover, since our effective equations laid a foundation for the phenomenological investigation to possible quantum gravity effects in cosmology, we also would like to further study the cosmological perturbation theory and inflation scenario under our framework of loop quantum Brans-Dicke cosmology. We leave all these interesting topics for future study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by NSFC (Nos.10975017, 11235003 and 11275073) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central University of China under Grant No.2012ZZ0079.

---

[1] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity,* (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
[2] T. Thiemann, *Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity,* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
[3] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, *Background independent quantum gravity: A status report,* Class.Quant.Grav. **21**, R53 (2004).
[4] M. Han, W. Huang, and Y. Ma, *Fundamental structure of loop quantum gravity,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **16**, 1397 ,(2007).
[5] X. Zhang and Y. Ma, *Extension of loop quantum gravity to $f(R)$ theories,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 171301 (2011).
[6] X. Zhang and Y. Ma, *Loop quantum f(R) theories,* Phys. Rev. D **84**, 064040 (2011).
[7] X. Zhang and Y. Ma, *Loop quantum Brans-Dicke theory,* J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **360**, 012055 (2012).
[8] X. Zhang and Y. Ma, *Nonperturbative loop quantization of scalar-tensor theories of gravity,* Phys. Rev. D **84**, 104045 (2011).
[9] Y. Ma, *Extension of loop quantum gravity to metric theories beyond general relativity,* J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **360**, 012006 (2012).
[10] A. Ashtekar, M. Bojowald, and J. Lewandowski, *Mathematical structure of loop quantum cosmology,* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7**, 233 (2003).
[11] M. Bojowald, *Loop quantum cosmology,* Living Rev. Relativity **8**, 11 (2005).
[12] A. Ashtekar, P. Singh, *Loop quantum cosmology: A status report,* Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 213001 (2011).
[13] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski, P. Singh, *Quantum nature of the big bang: Improved dynamics,* Phys. Rev. D **74**, 084003 (2006).
[14] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, *Mach's principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation,* Phys. Rev. **124**, 925 (1961).
[15] G. S. Greenstein, *Brans-Dicke cosmology, I,* Astrophys. Letter. **1**, 139 (1968).
[16] G. S. Greenstein, *Brans-Dicke cosmology, II,* Astrophysics and Space Science **2**, 155 (1968).
[17] J. D. Anderson and J. R. Morris, *Brans-Dicke theory and the Pioneer anomaly,* Phys. Rev. D **86**, 064023 (2012).
[18] N. Benerjee and D. Pavon, *Cosmic acceleration without quintessence,* Phys. Rev. D **63**, 043504 (2001).
[19] S. Sen and A. A. Sen, *Late time acceleration in Brans-Dicke cosmology,* Phys. Rev. D **63**, 124006(2001).
[20] L. Qiang, Y. Ma, M. Han and D. Yu, *5-dimensional Brans-Dicke theory and cosmic acceleration,* Phys. Rev. D **71**, 061501(R) (2005).
[21] S. Das, N. Banerjee, *Brans-Dicke scalar field as a chameleon,* Phys. Rev. D **78**, 043512 (2008).
[22] A. D. Felice, S. Tsujikawa, *Generalized Brans-Dicke theories,* JCAP **1007**, 024 (2010).
[23] Y. Bisabr, *Cosmic acceleration in Brans-Dicke cosmology,* Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 427 (2012).
[24] J. Friemann, M. Turner, D. Huterer, *Dark energy and the accelerating Universe,* Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **46**, 385 (2008).
[25] C. M. Will, *The confrontation between general relativity and experiment,* Living Rev. Relativity **9**, 3 (2006).
[26] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics,* (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
[27] A. Ashtekar, *Loop quantum cosmology: An overvie,* Gen. Rel. Grav. **41**, 707 (2009).
[28] A. Ashtekar, A. Corichi, and P. Singh, *Robustness of key features of loop quantum cosmology,* Phys. Rev. D **77**, 024046 (2008).
[29] V. Taveras, *Corrections to the Friedmann equations from loop quantum gravity for a universe with a free scalar field,* Phys. Rev. D **78**, 064072 (2008).
[30] Y. Ding, Y. Ma and J. Yang, *Effective scenario of loop quantum cosmology,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 051301 (2009).
[31] J. Yang, Y. Ding and Y. Ma, *Alternative quantization of the Hamiltonian in loop quantum cosmology II: Including the Lorentz term,* Phys. Lett. B **682**, 1 (2009).
[32] M. Bojowald, D. Brizuela, H. H. Hernandez, M. J. Koop, H. A. Morales-Tecotl, *High-order quantum back-reaction and quantum cosmology with a positive cosmological constant,* Phys. Rev. D **84**, 043514 (2011).
[33] A. Ashtekar, M. Campiglia, A. Henderson, *Loop quantum cosmology and spin foams,* Phys. Lett. B **681**, 347 (2009); *Casting loop quantum cosmology in the spin foam paradigm,* Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 135020 (2010); *Path integrals and the WKB approximation in loop quantum cosmolog,* Phys. Rev. D **82**, 124043 (2010).
[34] L. Qin, H. Huang and Y. Ma, *Path integral and effective Hamiltonian in loop quantum cosmology*, submitted to Gen. Rel. Grav..
[35] L. Qin, G. Deng and Y. Ma, *Path integral and effective Hamiltonian in loop quantum cosmology,* Commun. Theor. Phys. **57**, 326 (2012).
[36] L. Qin and Y. Ma, *Coherent state functional integrals in quantum cosmology,* Phys. Rev. D **85**, 063515 (2012).
[37] L. Qin and Y. Ma, *Coherent state functional integral in loop quantum cosmology: Alternative dynamics,* Mod. Phys. Lett. **27**, 1250078 (2012).